

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/082,905 | 2,905 02/25/2002 | | Claude Saliou | J&J-2091 | 3183 | |
| 27777 | 7590 | 12/16/2003 | | EXAMINER | | |
| PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA | | | | WITZ, JEAN C | | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| NEW BRUN | NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003 | | | 1651 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED: 12/16/2004 | , | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ' | SALIOU ET AL. | | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit | | | | | | | |
| Jean C. Witz 1651 | | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence Period for Reply | address | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered in If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | is communication. | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-29,31 and 32</u> is/are pending in the application. | ☑ Claim(s) <u>16-29,31 and 32</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-29 and 32</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>a</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) | | | | | | | |
| since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Applicat 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 29, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 16-29 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Costanzo, WO 9930729 and KR 9208851 in view of JP 63227515.

Applicants assert that teaching of the references fail to disclose the limitations that the skin aggressions occurred as a result of chemical contact, physical contact and

temperature. However, mere assertions without specific evidence are not persuasive in view of the disclosure of the references that teach that the compositions have cosmetic benefit and specifically used to treat skin disorders and sun pigmentation damage.

Page 3

It is clear that soy-containing compositions are known to be formulated for cosmetic use per the teaching of the primary references. The references specifically teach products containing STI and those products are disclosed to be produced in a manner that would retain the thiol retention activity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to treat skin having been damaged by any of the claimed "external aggressions to the skin" such as damage from the sun, damage from shaving, damage from cleansers and damage from the environment with the referenced soy product since the references teach that the compositions have cosmetic benefit and specifically used to treat skin disorders and sun pigmentation damage. Finally, the treatment of the compositions with gamma radiation to reduce the microbiological load of the compositions is clearly a conventional choice of the practitioner as evidenced by the disclosure of JP 63227515 that teaches that cosmetics are conventionally sterilized by exposure to gamma radiation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 31 recites the dependency on claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, since the base claim has been canceled.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean C. Witz whose telephone number is (703) 308-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. M-Th and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jean C. Witz

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

December 15, 2003